# SAMPLETE GUIDE TO Attachment

# A-level Psychology | AQA | Paper 1

© Bear it in MIND



## What you need to know for the AQA A-level Exam Specification

1	Caregiver-Infant Interaction Reciprovity, Interactional synchrony	pg. 3
2	<b>Stages of Attachment</b> <b>a</b> s proposed by Schaeffer, including multiple attachments	F
3	The Role of the Father	pg. 7
4	Animal Studies of Attachment including Konrad Lorenz and	pg. 11
5	Explanations o' Jchment: Learnin <sub>s</sub>	pg. 15
6	<b>Explanc</b> of Attachme Bowi. roic Theory	pg. 17
7	Ainsworth's Strange Si. Con & . Crent Types Including Secure, Insecure Av. Cot, and J. Secure Resistant	pg. 19
8	C. 'griation, achment II. Van Ijzendoorn	pg. 22
9	"Sur's Maternal Deprivation Theory	pg. 25
10	ects of Institutionalisation: Romanian Orphan Studies	pg. 29
	In ,ce of Early Attachment on Later Child & Adult Relationships	pg. 32



# Cultural Variations in Attachment (Including Van Ijzendoorn)

A-level Psychology | AQA | Paper 1 | Attachment

Previously we have considered Ainsworth's Strange Situation as a method of 🗹 sing the quality of attachment between a child and their caregiver. This was where she identified the c ment types of secure, insecure avoidant, and insecure resistant. And from this, researchers began to ask these at chment types might vary around the world, so in this section we are going to explore cultural v ons in a+ nent. Culture refers to the norms and values that exist within any group of people, so rion is intr ٠d in the differences in the norms and values between groups of people. We are interested in how the attachment types differ between cultures. As we explore cu. ,on it is helpful to bear in mind a distinction that is often made been certain cultures. Individualistic Cultures ctivist Cult ch as China and ectivist cun Individualistic cultures, typically in the ise the group, amily and community West such as the USA and the UK, Japan, prioritise the individual, independence over the individual. and autonomy over the group. Van ljzendoorn & Kroonenberg (1988) So how do psychologists conduct rch to learn ა the vanation of attachment across cultures? This brings us t <sup>c</sup> Marin n ljzendoorn and Pieter Kroonenberg (1988) who conduc. L L the data from many other studies that A meta-analys **Meta Analysis** ucted and combines the results to have previously be i effect or trends. see the Van ljzendoorn & K. nd: 32 studies 8 countries 1,990 participants \ll of these 32 5 made use of the **<u>Strange Situation</u>** to measure attachment. sed all the data to see attern of attachment types across the different countries. They The nted to e any: Intra-cultural differences fferences ....cer-cultur (differences WITHIN cultures) (differ (WEEN cultures)

### Harry Harlow (1958)



At the time of Harlow's research in the 1950s, one of most dominant views in psychology was that of Behaviourism. When it came to attachment behaviour, Behaviourists took the view that babies formed an attachment with their mother simply because they provided food. Harlow argued that this missed something crucially important to the formation of a relationship and to healthy development in a child; and that was 'love'. In his work entitled 'The Nature of Love' Harlow studied Rhests monkeys who were removed from their mothers at birth.

Using a controlled environment, the monkeys were then released into a c choice of two surrogate monkey mothers (surrogate being a su'

where they had the

One of these surrogate mothers was made from a block of wood, covered with sponge rubber, and a cotton cloth. A light bulb behind her radiated heat.

This surrogate mother provided what Harlow called 'contact comfort'.



urrogate r fwire which dis, om a bottle plac milar location to the y a real monkey mother would. Harlow d that this surroge other's "body differ sessential way i the cloth mother an in the quality of ntact comfort which she can supply".

When the monkeys were released into the cage recorded the amount of time a monkey sp. se two surrogate mothers, they each of the mothers.

Given a choice between compare that prov contact comfort but no foor feeding with the wire ood b. At comfort or a mother that provided at comfort. The monkey spent less than an a hour of the time with the cloth covered mother.

### **Fear Test**

In another version c place of safety an. can be a measure of rength o'

"'arlow explored tear. He argued that one function of the mother is to be **a** times of fear and danger, and that an infant's response to fear o' lient to their mother.

y presenting various fear-producing stimuli (see below).

S times t<sup>1</sup> 's ak <sup>++</sup> witho y a mother.

They found that were alone without a money would crouch down in fear and avoid the object,





In other conditions the monkey was with the wire and clothed surrogate mothers.

They found that when they were given a choice, they looked to the contact comfort mother for safety, and did not show as much of a fearful response and in fact eventually began to explore and attack the object.

### **Evaluating Caregiver-Infant Interaction**

### **1. Controlled Observations**



The research into caregiver-infant interaction often uses highly controlled observations. For example, the research by Meltzoff & Moore made use of technology to capture th micro-sequences of behaviours that the infants displayed. This involves filming the v fine details of expressions and movements that can be recorded and analysed | which increases the validity of the research.

There are no problems with demand characteristics. When we know we a. observed we change our behaviour, whether that's to to show the behaviours we the observers want, or to do the opposite and deliberately behave in ways that true researcher doesn't want. But with an infant who is a few days, or weeks old they don't know they are being observed and so their behaviour is more natural.

### 2. Difficulties with Observations

Firstly, there is the problem of intentionality. It is difficult to  $r^{J}$ nclusions role of caregiver-infant interactions in the development nt becaus difficult to determine the infant's intention. This is bec whan ing observed just hand movements or changes in facial expression . infant is ed in its ability to communicate and only has a few things that actually The researcher needs to make an inference about the children's be. As c it, it is extremely difficult to be certain what is taking place from the infaiew.

Secondly, there is the potential problem of *L* in the observe retation of the behaviour. They may observe an infant's be d interpret it 、 ct response to the adult's behaviour because that is wi hoping to fine this can then raise questions about the validity of the n our understanding of caregiver-infant interactions.

### **3. Practical Application**

Research into caregiver-infant into drawn attention to the important beha. has we its practical application. This is because it has reded to new the development of an infant.

For example, in the past a mother and her bu 'd be put in separate rooms after the birth, but now the mother is encourager «kin to skin contacı the baby as soon as possible to encourage the bonding. process. The resea infant interaction encourages mothers to engage in social interaction and to respond to th an appropriate and timely manner. 'dVIOL.

ese interactions for the benefit of the child's development, notably in nce areas such as empathy ar.



One

It has pointed to the in

e with <sup>,</sup>

regiver-infant interactions relates to how it can be socially sensitive.

This resear phasises the importance of interactional synchrony between a mother and an infan. ∠sts that c d may not develop as well if the child does not receive high levels of it. Think back to issuella and Bels indingss which suggested that low levels of interactional synchrony can lead to insecure attachments other who returns to work shortly after her child is born would have less opportunities for i unal synchrony with her child. Would she feel like a bad parent? Would this lead her to be ostracised ar... woked down on by others?

Research into caregiver-infant interactions could put pressure on mothers not to return to work (when they might need to), or a mother might need to look after other children too and can't give as much attention as she would like to her newborn, which might make some mothers feel guilty about the situation.



# The Influence of Early Attachment on Child & Adult Relationships (Including the Internal Working Model)

A-level Psychology | AQA | Paper 1 | Attachment

Psychologists believe that your attachment to your caregiver, your first significant relationship, will go on to shape all your future relationships; including the quality of your friendships, how you behave in your romantic relationships, and even how you will parent your own chi'.

### **Internal Working Model**

Key to understanding how our early attachment can influence our later relationship. interno' king model. This is part of John Bowlby's Monotropic Theory. According to Bowlby, **your p. iment relationship acts as a model or template for later relationships.** It provides the blueprint, index of you remembering your cognitive psychology, it leads to the formation of a mental representation of a schema of how to relate to other people.



Kerns (1994)

Some research suggests that your attachment type is childhood; in other words your friends. Kathryn Kerns between secure and insecurely attached children.

₂iated √ ∕94) found he quality ot , ur peer relationships in fference in the quality of relationships



Securely attached childre were more u during childhood, wher 'ildren wit more difficulties not c friend

ere more n. 'ave good friendships 'ildren with n. attachments had friendships intaining them.



"Children who are more securely attached form positionships with peers, cooperate more with adults, and agulate their emerges more ectively." (Kerns, 1994)

**Romantic Relationships** 







arly attachment can influence your S٢ adly, let's consider how "onships. Hazan and Shaver (1987) conducted an regiation between attachment and adult ⁺igu.. ships, t≀ they called the 'love quiz'. The quiz re ne 100 questions. This was published in a local con. Rocky Mountain News". They received 620 replies to newsp their que aire.



They found...

Ser	Most likely to have good & longer lasting relationships & believe that love endures.	
Insecure ^ .nt	Were most likely to fear closeness in relationships & believe that love doesn't last.	
Insecure Resistant	Were most likely to be needy for love. They fell in love very easily.	

# For more resources visit:

www.bearitinmind.org



**Bear it in MIND** 

© Bear it in MIND